

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | T T | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/019,936 | 04/24/2002 | Yasushi Watanabe | 02500.000010 | 8758 |
| 5514 7: | 590 10/23/2003 | EXAMINER | | |
| FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA | | | GORMAN, DARREN W | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3752 | 1, — |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003 | 1 2 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/019,936 | WATANABE ET AL. | | | | |
| navicery neutrin | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Darren W Gorman | 3752 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of | f the final rejection. | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dather than the period of extensions of the period of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in | e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) \square they present additional claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection. | • • • | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: | r reconsideration has been cons | sidered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>5-10</u> . | • | · | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 2-4. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 10.⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet | | Mikal Man | | | | |
| | | MICHAEL MAR PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 | | | | |



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment to claim 1 to include the limitation regarding the bypass pipe as allowing air to move freely between the cylindrical body and the dispersion chamber requires further search and consideration.

Continuation of 10. Other: The formal drawing (Figure 18), filed September 2, 2003, paper #13, is acknowledged and accepted..